From:

Cc:

East Anglia Two; East Anglia ONE North saveeastsuffolk@outlook.com; info at SEAS

Subject: Deadline 8 submission. refs. EA20023074 & EA1N20023073

Date: 24 March 2021 23:16:44

Dear Examining Authority,

I fully support the comprehensive submission of 23rd March from SEAS.

In particular I would like to re-emphasise the following points:-

The consultation and communication from the Applicant has been abysmal.

Both the Applicant and National Grid have repeatably avoided admitting to other projects, such as the Nautilus Interconnector, that would join the Grid at Friston and result in a massive impact of cumulative projects at Friston and over the areas where cabling would have to be buried.

These numerous projects are all being developed with no thought of the BEIS Review and the statements on the effect of such projects on the population by the Prime Minister, OFGEM and the Chief Executive of National Grid.

The Applicants did not give satisfactory answers to the potential problems of flooding, noise, air quality, traffic management and light pollution at the proposed site.

There appeared to be no thought given to the welfare of the inhabitants of Friston who would have to face over 10 years of construction and considerable inconvenience during these various projects.

I was also disappointed that the Examination did not look at the Broom Covert site which is large enough to take all the proposed projects, is close by Sizewell for connection to the Grid, close to the shoreline to minimise cable runs and is poor quality soil that is even too dry to support wild life. For all the years of construction of the Sizewell C & D projects it will be surrounded by workers caravan parks and reserves of construction material. Although it is in the AONB belt a previous Enquiry has ruled that National Energy Projects may be considered in such areas and the Leiston substation was built on that basis.

No one is opposing the offshore element in the Application so we are asking for a split decision with the offshore element being approved and the onshore element being declined with the Applicant being instructed to look at more sensible solutions that do not decimate our Village, the countryside, local and holiday businesses and the prosperity of East Suffolk.

	One	obvious	solution	would b	e the	Broom	Covert s	ite.
--	-----	---------	----------	---------	-------	-------	----------	------

Yours Faithfully,

Tony Morley

Sent from my iPad